

Mathematical Institute

* 🗆 🕨

Excursion sets of smooth Gaussian fields and percolation

M. MCAULEY Joint work with Dmitry Beliaev and Stephen Muirhead Mathematical Institute University of Oxford

30 April 2019 Stochastic Analysis Workshop Tianjin University

Oxford Mathematics



1. Percolation-type results for Gaussian fields

2. Number of excursion sets of Gaussian fields



Percolation models The standard example





Oxford Mathematics

1 < ロ > < 団 > < 言 > < 言 > < 言 > の < の <

Percolation models The standard example





Oxford Mathematics



Theorem

For Bernoulli percolation on \mathbb{Z}^2 with parameter p, if $p \leq 1/2$ then a.s. there is no infinite open connected component (Harris 1960). If p > 1/2 then a.s. there exists a unique infinite open connected component (Kesten 1980).





Theorem

For Bernoulli percolation on \mathbb{Z}^2 with parameter p, if $p \leq 1/2$ then a.s. there is no infinite open connected component (Harris 1960). If p > 1/2 then a.s. there exists a unique infinite open connected component (Kesten 1980).

Let $C_{[a,b]\times[c,d]}$ be the event that there exists an open path in $[a,b]\times[c,d]$ joining the left and right sides of the rectangle.

Theorem

If p=1/2 then for each c>0 there exists $c_1>0$ such that

$$c_1 < \mathbb{P}(C_{[0,R]\times[0,cR]}) < 1 - c_1 \qquad (RSW)$$

for all R > 0. If p > 1/2 then for each c > 0 there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(C_{[0,R]\times[0,cR]}) > 1 - e^{-c_2 R}$$
 (Kesten 1980)

Gaussian fields Basic setting



Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a stationary Gaussian field with zero-mean, unit variance and covariance function $\kappa : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [-1, 1]$ and spectral measure ρ , i.e. for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\kappa(x) = \mathbb{E}(f(y)f(y+x)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{it \cdot x} d\rho(t)$$

We are interested in the geometry of the level sets

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid f(x) = \ell\}$$

and (upper) excursion sets

$$\mathcal{E}_{\ell} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid f(x) \ge \ell\}$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$.

3

Gaussian fields Two important examples



- 1. Random Plane wave
 - $\kappa(x) = J_0(|x|)$ the zero-th Bessel function
 - Slow decay of correlations $\approx |x|^{-1/2}$
 - Negative correlations
 - Realisations of f are eigenfunctions of the Laplacian with eigenvalue -1
- 2. Bargmann-Fock field

•
$$\kappa(x) = \exp(-|x|^2/2)$$

- Super-exponential decay of correlations
- κ > 0 everywhere







(a) Nodal set of Random Plane Wave (b) Nodal set of Bargmann-Fock field

Figure: *f* is positive on black regions and negative on white regions.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Gaussian fields Analogy with percolation models



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



Figure: A Gaussian excursion set \mathcal{E}_{ℓ} and a realisation of a corresponding percolation model with parameter p.





• (Molchanov-Stepanov 1983) If κ is absolutely integrable then \mathcal{E}_{ℓ} has an infinite component for $\ell << 0$.





- Molchanov-Stepanov 1983) If κ is absolutely integrable then *E*_ℓ has an infinite component for ℓ << 0.</p>
- (Alexander 1996) If κ ≥ 0 and κ(x) → 0 as |x| → ∞ then L_ℓ has no infinite component for any ℓ.





7

- (Molchanov-Stepanov 1983) If κ is absolutely integrable then \mathcal{E}_{ℓ} has an infinite component for $\ell << 0$.
- (Alexander 1996) If κ ≥ 0 and κ(x) → 0 as |x| → ∞ then L_ℓ has no infinite component for any ℓ.
- (Beffara-Gayet 2016) The Bargmann-Fock field satisfies RSW estimates for *E*₀ and *L*₀. The same conclusion holds if κ ≥ 0 and |κ(x)| ≤ |x|^{-β} for β > 325. (Hence *E*_ℓ contains no infinite component for ℓ ≥ 0).



7

- (Molchanov-Stepanov 1983) If κ is absolutely integrable then \mathcal{E}_{ℓ} has an infinite component for $\ell << 0$.
- (Alexander 1996) If κ ≥ 0 and κ(x) → 0 as |x| → ∞ then L_ℓ has no infinite component for any ℓ.
- (Beffara-Gayet 2016) The Bargmann-Fock field satisfies RSW estimates for *E*₀ and *L*₀. The same conclusion holds if κ ≥ 0 and |κ(x)| ≤ |x|^{-β} for β > 325. (Hence *E*_ℓ contains no infinite component for ℓ ≥ 0).
- (Beliaev-Muirhead 2018) As above for $\beta > 16$



7

- (Molchanov-Stepanov 1983) If κ is absolutely integrable then \mathcal{E}_{ℓ} has an infinite component for $\ell << 0$.
- Alexander 1996) If κ ≥ 0 and κ(x) → 0 as |x| → ∞ then L_ℓ has no infinite component for any ℓ.
- (Beffara-Gayet 2016) The Bargmann-Fock field satisfies RSW estimates for *E*₀ and *L*₀. The same conclusion holds if κ ≥ 0 and |κ(x)| ≤ |x|^{-β} for β > 325. (Hence *E*_ℓ contains no infinite component for ℓ ≥ 0).
- (Beliaev-Muirhead 2018) As above for $\beta > 16$
- (Rivera-Vanneuville 2018) As above for $\beta > 4$.



Let $C_{\ell}^{\mathcal{E}}([a, b] \times [c, d])$ be the event that there exists a left-right crossing of $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ in \mathcal{E}_{ℓ} and $C_{\ell}^{\mathcal{L}}([a, b] \times [c, d])$ the corresponding event for \mathcal{L}_{ℓ} .

▶ (Rivera-Vanneuville 2018) For the Bargmann-Fock field, for each c > 0, ℓ < 0 there exists c₁ > 0 such that for R >> 0

$$\mathbb{P}\left(C_{\ell}^{\mathcal{E}}([0,R] imes[0,cR])
ight)>1-e^{-c_{1}R}$$

Hence for $\ell < 0, \, \mathcal{E}_\ell$ almost surely has a unique unbounded component.



Let $C_{\ell}^{\mathcal{E}}([a, b] \times [c, d])$ be the event that there exists a left-right crossing of $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ in \mathcal{E}_{ℓ} and $C_{\ell}^{\mathcal{L}}([a, b] \times [c, d])$ the corresponding event for \mathcal{L}_{ℓ} .

▶ (Rivera-Vanneuville 2018) For the Bargmann-Fock field, for each c > 0, ℓ < 0 there exists c₁ > 0 such that for R >> 0

$$\mathbb{P}\left(C_{\ell}^{\mathcal{E}}([0,R] imes[0,cR])
ight)>1-e^{-c_{1}R}$$

Hence for $\ell < 0, \, \mathcal{E}_\ell$ almost surely has a unique unbounded component.

Muirhead-Vanneuville 2018) As above for κ ≥ 0, κ(x) ≤ |x|^{-(2+ε)} for some ε > 0 and ρ that has a density satisfying some technical assumptions.



1. Percolation-type results for Gaussian fields

2. Number of excursion sets of Gaussian fields







(a) Nodal set of Random Plane Wave (b) Nodal set of Bargmann-Fock field

Figure: *f* is positive on black regions and negative on white regions.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Number of excursion sets Motivation



Conjecture (Bogomolny-Schmit 2001)

The nodal domains of the Random Plane Wave (i.e. components of $\{f \neq 0\}$) can be modelled by critical Bernoulli percolation on the square lattice. More formally, for R > 0 sufficiently large

$$N(R) pprox \mathcal{N}\left(\mu R^2, \sigma^2 R^2\right)$$

where N(R) is the number of components of $\{f \neq 0\}$ in $[0, R]^2$ and μ, σ^2 are explicitly known constants.

Number of excursion sets Motivation



Conjecture (Bogomolny-Schmit 2001)

The nodal domains of the Random Plane Wave (i.e. components of $\{f \neq 0\}$) can be modelled by critical Bernoulli percolation on the square lattice. More formally, for R > 0 sufficiently large

$$N(R) \approx \mathcal{N}\left(\mu R^2, \sigma^2 R^2\right)$$

where N(R) is the number of components of $\{f \neq 0\}$ in $[0, R]^2$ and μ, σ^2 are explicitly known constants.

Numerical results indicate that the prediction for μ is inaccurate (by about 5%).

Number of excursion sets Motivation



Conjecture (Bogomolny-Schmit 2001)

The nodal domains of the Random Plane Wave (i.e. components of $\{f \neq 0\}$) can be modelled by critical Bernoulli percolation on the square lattice. More formally, for R > 0 sufficiently large

$$N(R) \approx \mathcal{N}\left(\mu R^2, \sigma^2 R^2\right)$$

where N(R) is the number of components of $\{f \neq 0\}$ in $[0, R]^2$ and μ, σ^2 are explicitly known constants.

- Numerical results indicate that the prediction for μ is inaccurate (by about 5%).
- However the probability of crossing events for the Random Plane Wave match those for percolation extremely well numerically.



Let $N_{LS}(R, \ell)$ be the number of components of $\{f = \ell\}$ in the ball of radius R centred at 0.

Theorem (Nazarov-Sodin 2016)

If f is ergodic then there exists $c_{LS}(\rho) \ge 0$ such that

 $N_{LS}(0,R)/(\pi R^2)
ightarrow c_{LS}(
ho)$





Let $N_{LS}(R, \ell)$ be the number of components of $\{f = \ell\}$ in the ball of radius R centred at 0.

Theorem (Nazarov-Sodin 2016)

If f is ergodic then there exists $c_{LS}(\rho) \ge 0$ such that

$$N_{LS}(0,R)/(\pi R^2)
ightarrow c_{LS}(
ho)$$

a.s. and in L^1 .

1. The proof relies on an ergodic argument (similar to that for percolation).





Let $N_{LS}(R, \ell)$ be the number of components of $\{f = \ell\}$ in the ball of radius R centred at 0.

Theorem (Nazarov-Sodin 2016)

If f is ergodic then there exists $c_{LS}(\rho) \ge 0$ such that

$$N_{LS}(0,R)/(\pi R^2)
ightarrow c_{LS}(
ho)$$

- 1. The proof relies on an ergodic argument (similar to that for percolation).
- 2. This result inspired much of the literature on percolation properties of Gaussian fields.





Let $N_{LS}(R, \ell)$ be the number of components of $\{f = \ell\}$ in the ball of radius R centred at 0.

Theorem (Nazarov-Sodin 2016) If f is ergodic then there exists $c_{LS}(\rho, \ell) \ge 0$ such that

$$N_{LS}(\ell,R)/(\pi R^2) \rightarrow c_{LS}(\rho,\ell)$$

- $1. \ \mbox{The proof relies on an ergodic argument (similar to that for percolation).}$
- 2. This result inspired much of the literature on percolation properties of Gaussian fields.





Let $N_{ES}(R, \ell)$ be the number of components of $\{f \ge \ell\}$ in the ball of radius R centred at 0.

Theorem (Nazarov-Sodin 2016) If f is ergodic then there exists $c_{ES}(\rho, \ell) \ge 0$ such that

$$N_{ES}(R,\ell)/(\pi R^2) \rightarrow c_{ES}(\rho,\ell)$$

- $1. \ \mbox{The proof relies on an ergodic argument (similar to that for percolation).}$
- 2. This result inspired much of the literature on percolation properties of Gaussian fields.



Variance of the number of excursion sets Previous results



 Based on the percolation analogy (and the Bogomolny-Schmit conjecture), we might expect

 $\operatorname{Var}(N_{LS}(R,\ell)) \simeq R^2$



Variance of the number of excursion sets Previous results



 Based on the percolation analogy (and the Bogomolny-Schmit conjecture), we might expect

 $\operatorname{Var}(N_{LS}(R,\ell)) \simeq R^2$

Trivial result

 $1 \lesssim \mathsf{Var}(N_{LS}(R, \ell)) \lesssim R^4$

Variance of the number of excursion sets Previous results



 Based on the percolation analogy (and the Bogomolny-Schmit conjecture), we might expect

$$\operatorname{Var}(N_{LS}(R,\ell)) \simeq R^2$$

Trivial result

$$1 \lesssim \mathsf{Var}(\mathit{N_{LS}(R,\ell)}) \lesssim \mathit{R}^4$$

 (Nazarov-Sodin 2009) For random spherical harmonics ('Random Plane Wave on the sphere')

 $Var(N_{LS}(f_n, 0)) \lesssim n^{4-2/15}$

12 《 □ ▶ 《 @ ▶ 《 글 ▶ 〈 글 ▶ 〉 글 · ^) < @ Variance of the number of excursion sets Previous results



 Based on the percolation analogy (and the Bogomolny-Schmit conjecture), we might expect

$$\operatorname{Var}(N_{LS}(R,\ell)) \simeq R^2$$

Trivial result

$$1 \lesssim \mathsf{Var}(\mathit{N_{LS}}(R,\ell)) \lesssim R^4$$

 (Nazarov-Sodin 2009) For random spherical harmonics ('Random Plane Wave on the sphere')

$$Var(N_{LS}(f_n, 0)) \lesssim n^{4-2/15}$$

(Nazarov-Sodin, announced) For random spherical harmonics

$$n^{\sigma} \lesssim \operatorname{Var}(N_{LS}(f_n, 0))$$

for some $\sigma > 0$.

12

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



Theorem

Let f be the Bargmann-Fock field, if $\ell \in (-\epsilon, 0.64) \cup (1.02, \infty)$ then

 $Var(N_{ES}(R, \ell)) \gtrsim R^2.$

If $\left|\ell\right|>1.37$ then

 $Var(N_{LS}(R, \ell)) \gtrsim R^2.$



Theorem

Let f be the Bargmann-Fock field, if $\ell \in (-\epsilon, 0.64) \cup (1.02, \infty)$ then

 $\operatorname{Var}(N_{ES}(R,\ell)) \gtrsim R^2.$

If $\left|\ell\right|>1.37$ then

$$\operatorname{Var}(N_{LS}(R,\ell))\gtrsim R^2.$$

▶ The same bounds hold for ℓ such that $c'_{ES}(\ell) \neq 0$ or $c'_{LS}(\ell) \neq 0$.



Theorem

Let f be the Bargmann-Fock field, if $\ell \in (-\epsilon, 0.64) \cup (1.02, \infty)$ then

 $\operatorname{Var}(N_{ES}(R,\ell)) \gtrsim R^2.$

If $\left|\ell\right|>1.37$ then

$$\operatorname{Var}(N_{LS}(R,\ell))\gtrsim R^2.$$

- ▶ The same bounds hold for ℓ such that $c'_{ES}(\ell) \neq 0$ or $c'_{LS}(\ell) \neq 0$.
- Similar bounds hold for other fields with non-negative covariance functions and fast correlation decay.

13 《 □ ▶ 《 🗇 ▶ 《 🖹 ▶ 〈 🖹 ▶ 🏾 🗐 씨 ()



Theorem

Let f be the Random Plane Wave, if $\ell \in (-\infty,0) \cup (0,0.87) \cup [1,\infty)$ then

 $Var(N_{ES}(R, \ell)) \gtrsim R^3$.

If $|\ell| > 1$ then

 $Var(N_{LS}(R, \ell)) \gtrsim R^3.$



Theorem

Let f be the Random Plane Wave, if $\ell \in (-\infty,0) \cup (0,0.87) \cup [1,\infty)$ then

 $Var(N_{ES}(R, \ell)) \gtrsim R^3.$

If $|\ell| > 1$ then

$$\operatorname{Var}(N_{LS}(R,\ell))\gtrsim R^3.$$

As with BF, the same bounds hold for $\ell \neq 0$ such that $c'_{ES}(\ell) \neq 0$ or $c'_{LS}(\ell) \neq 0$.



Theorem

Let f be the Random Plane Wave, if $\ell \in (-\infty,0) \cup (0,0.87) \cup [1,\infty)$ then

 $Var(N_{ES}(R, \ell)) \gtrsim R^3.$

If $|\ell| > 1$ then

$$\operatorname{Var}(N_{LS}(R,\ell))\gtrsim R^3.$$

- As with BF, the same bounds hold for $\ell \neq 0$ such that $c'_{ES}(\ell) \neq 0$ or $c'_{LS}(\ell) \neq 0$.
- ▶ This is surprising given the Bogomolny-Schmit conjecture, however our method doesn't apply to $\ell = 0$, so there may be cancellation at this level.



Lemma (Chatterjee 2017)

Let X_n and Y_n be sequences of random variables and $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. If $X_n - Y_n$ has fluctuations of order at least a_n and $d_{TV}(X_n - Y_n) \rightarrow 0$ then X_n has fluctuations of order at least a_n .

Define $X_R := N_{ES}(R, \ell)$ and $Y_R := N_{ES}(R, \ell + 1/\sqrt{R})$. Step 1 If $c'_{ES}(\ell) \neq 0$ then

$$\mathbb{E}(X_R-Y_R)|\gtrsim R^{3/2}.$$

It can also be shown that

$$\mathbb{E}((X_R-Y_R)^2) \lesssim R^3.$$

By the second moment method $X_R - Y_R$ has fluctuations of order at least $R^{3/2}$ (and variance of order at least R^3).

Variance of the number of excursion sets Lower bound: proof



Step 2

The Random Plane Wave has an orthogonal expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m J_{|m|}(r) e^{im heta}$$

where (r, θ) represents x in polar coordinates, the a_m are independent standard complex Gaussian random variables and J_m is the *m*-th Bessel function. By truncating this expansion and rescaling the a_m we get a bound on $d_{TV}(X_R, Y_R)$, in terms of the total variation distance of two Gaussian random vectors, which tends to zero.





